
CONTENTS

<i>Series Editors' Preface</i>	vii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	ix
<i>Abbreviations</i>	xvii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxxx
<i>Table of National Laws</i>	xix
Introduction.....	1
I. The Rise of Mass Damages and the Corresponding Private Enforcement Gap	1
II. Collective Redress to the Rescue	2
III. Efforts at the EU Level.....	3
IV. Delineation of the Material Scope	4
V. Towards the Construction of an Appropriate Regime on International Jurisdiction in Collective Redress Cases	5
1. Back to the Origin: The US Class Action.....	7
I. A Primer on Class Actions	9
A. The Basic Features of the American Legal System	9
B. Definition and Characteristics.....	11
i. Rationale: Judicial Efficiency and Access to Justice.....	13
ii. Functions: Compensation and Deterrence	15
II. Pre-Certification Questions.....	17
A. Class Action and its Alternatives.....	17
B. Jurisdiction	18
i. A Primer on Jurisdictional Rules in the US	19
ii. Strategic Forum Selection and Parallel Litigation	23
iii. The Impact of the Class Action Fairness Act on Jurisdictional Questions.....	25
III. Class Certification.....	27
A. The Tripartite Structure of Rule 23.....	27
B. Prerequisites under Rule 23(a).....	28
i. Numerosity	29
ii. Commonality	29
iii. Typicality.....	30
iv. Standing to Sue and Adequacy of Representation.....	31

C.	Rule 23(b)(3)	33
i.	Predominance	33
ii.	Superiority	33
D.	Settlement Classes	35
IV.	Post-Certification Steps.....	38
A.	Notice and Opt-Out Rights.....	38
B.	Effect of Class Action Judgments and Settlements	39
C.	Costs and Fees.....	41
V.	Conclusion.....	43
2.	Collective Redress Mechanisms in the EU	45
I.	Developments of National Collective Redress Mechanisms.....	46
A.	Chronological Overview	46
B.	Collective Redress: A Concept with Fuzzy Boundaries	48
C.	Goals and Functions of Collective Redress.....	50
D.	Structural Aspects	52
i.	Participation: Opt-In, Opt-Out and Automatic Membership.....	53
ii.	Standing to Sue.....	57
iii.	Certification Criteria.....	60
iv.	Costs and Financing.....	61
v.	The Role of ADR.....	65
E.	The Four Categories	66
i.	The Representative Model	67
ii.	The Class Action Model.....	67
iii.	The Test Case Model.....	67
iv.	The Dutch Model.....	68
II.	The Role of the EU.....	68
A.	Chronological Overview	69
B.	The EU's Journey Towards the Implementation of Collective Redress	84
i.	Empirical Evidence on Consumer Behaviour.....	84
ii.	The Initiative of the EU	87
a.	The Pre-Recommendation Landscape	87
b.	The Recommendation of 2013	88
c.	The Future Directive on Representative Actions	92
C.	Opponents' Objections to Collective Redress.....	94
i.	ADR as the Only Means to Resolve Consumer Disputes.....	94
a.	Consumer ADR and Collective Redress Compared	95
b.	... in a Cross-Border Context	99
ii.	Competence of the EU	103
a.	Article 81 TFEU	104
b.	Article 114 TFEU	106
c.	How Much EU Intervention is Needed?.....	110
III.	Conclusion.....	116

3. Private International Law Analysis.....	118
I. Jurisdiction under the Brussels Regulation (Recast).....	118
A. A Primer on the Brussels Regulation (Recast)	119
i. Historical Overview.....	119
ii. Scope of the Regulation	121
a. Material Scope.....	121
b. Temporal Scope.....	121
c. Territorial Scope.....	122
iii. Types of Jurisdictional Grounds.....	122
B. The Forum of the Defendant's Domicile (Article 4) and its Two Sisters (Article 7(5) and 8(1)).....	123
i. Article 4.....	123
ii. Article 7(5).....	124
iii. Article 8(1).....	125
C. Jurisdiction in Matters Related to Contracts (Article 7(1)).....	127
i. General Aspects	127
ii. Article 7(1)(a): The Place of Performance of the Obligation in Question	129
iii. Article 7(1)(b): Sales and Services Contracts.....	131
D. The Place Where the Damage Occurred (Article 7(2)).....	134
i. General Aspects	134
ii. Matters Related to Tort	135
iii. The Place Where the Harmful Event Occurred or May Occur	136
a. The Dissociation Between the Place Giving Rise to the Damage and its Materialisation	136
b. Materialisation of the Damage in Various Member States	137
E. Special Jurisdiction for Consumer Matters (Section 4).....	138
i. General Aspects	138
ii. The Notion of Consumer and the Types of Contracts Covered	139
iii. Protective Forum	143
F. Rules on <i>Lis Pendens</i> and Related Actions	143
G. Party Autonomy.....	144
II. Jurisdiction and Collective Redress.....	145
A. The Representative Model	145
i. Outline of the Representative Model	145
ii. The Representative Entity.....	147
a. The Nature of the Representative Entity	147
b. Standing to Sue and Litigation Abroad.....	150
iii. Questions Regarding Jurisdiction.....	153
a. Section 4 BIA.....	153
b. Article 7(1) BIA.....	155

c.	Article 7(2) BIa.....	158
d.	Other Possible Grounds for Jurisdiction	160
iv.	Interim Conclusion	161
B.	The Dutch Model.....	161
i.	Outline of the Dutch Model	161
ii.	The Shell Settlement	163
a.	Summary of Facts	163
b.	Questions Regarding Jurisdiction.....	165
iii.	Converium Settlement	171
a.	Summary of Facts	171
b.	Application of Article 7(1) BIa.....	173
iv.	Other Possible Grounds of Jurisdiction.....	175
v.	Interim Conclusion	177
C.	The Class Action Model.....	177
i.	Outline of the Class Action Model.....	178
ii.	Schrems Case.....	178
a.	The Administrative Complaint	179
b.	The Collective Judicial Action.....	181
iii.	Interim Conclusion	192
D.	The Test Case Model	192
i.	Outline of the Test Case Model.....	193
ii.	Recent International Cases Following the <i>KapMuG</i> Procedure.....	196
a.	The MPC-Fonds Scandal	196
b.	The Volkswagen Case	197
iii.	Questions Regarding Jurisdiction.....	198
a.	Article 4 BIa.....	198
b.	Other Grounds of Jurisdiction	200
iv.	Interim Conclusion	205
III.	Conclusion.....	205
4.	Proposal for an Appropriate Forum Regarding Collective Redress Actions.....	207
I.	Appropriateness of the Current Provisions and Proposals for Reform.....	207
A.	Looking for an Appropriate Forum	208
i.	The Theory of Arthur von Mehren.....	208
ii.	EU Policy Objectives	210
B.	Is the Current Situation Satisfactory?	212
i.	The Ideal Forum for Collective Redress Actions	212
ii.	Article 4 BIa	213
iii.	Article 7(2) BIa.....	215

C. Suggested Proposals for Reform.....	215
i. Scholars	215
ii. The Paris-Rio Guidelines	218
iii. National Rules on Jurisdiction	221
iv. Movements at the EU Level.....	221
II. Proposal.....	223
A. The Competing Solutions	224
i. The Centralised Representative Model	225
ii. The Partial Centralisation Model.....	228
B. The Proposal.....	231
i. Overview.....	231
ii. Advantages.....	232
iii. To Be Determined	234
a. Type of Instrument	234
b. Nature of the Jurisdictional Rule	235
c. Connecting Factor	236
d. Terminology and Type of Action.....	238
e. Material and Territorial Scope	239
f. Standing to Sue.....	241
iv. Critical Assessment	242
a. Fragmentation of the Internal Market	242
b. Fragmentation of Litigation.....	243
c. Recognition and Enforcement	244
III. Conclusion.....	246
Final Conclusions.....	248
I. Harmonisation of Collective Redress Mechanisms:	
Unity over Diversity.....	248
II. The Proposal: Access to Justice over Unity.....	250
<i>Annexes</i>	252
Annex I: United States' Most Relevant Provisions on Class Actions	252
Annex II: Models of Collective Redress in the EU State-by-State.....	256
A. The Netherlands.....	258
B. Spain.....	261
C. France.....	263
D. Portugal.....	267
E. Italy	268
F. Germany	271
G. Austria.....	274
H. United Kingdom	276
I. Sweden	279

xvi *Contents*

J. Finland	280
K. Bulgaria	281
L. Belgium	282
M. Poland	286
Annex III: Questionnaire on Cross-Border Litigation and Collective Redress	287
<i>Bibliography</i>	302
<i>Index</i>	319